

1
2
3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4
5 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
6

7 HAO-QI GONG,

No. C 03-05495 TEH

8 Plaintiff,

9 v.

**AMENDED ORDER RE: PRETRIAL
DATES**

10 CITY OF ALAMEDA, et al.,

11 Defendants.
12 _____/

13 Due to clerical error, the Court mistakenly noted that trial in this matter is set for
14 August 1, 2008. It is set for August 7, 2008. The pretrial deadlines set at the case
15 management conference held March 31, 2008 are therefore as follows: They are:
16

17 July 11, 2008	Pretrial Conference Statement, Jury Instructions, Special Verdict Forms, and Voir Dire Questions Due
18 July 17, 2008	Motions in Limine Due
19 July 21, 2008	Pretrial Conference 3:00 p.m.
20 July 24, 2008	Oppositions to Motions in Limine Due
21 July 31, 2008	Objections to Jury Instructions and Special Verdict Forms Due; Exhibits Lodged
22	
23 August 7, 2008	Trial Begins

24 Again, these deadlines are firm; the parties are expected to meet these deadlines, and no
25 extensions will be granted. The record indicates that Plaintiff was proceeding in *propria*
26 *persona* when the above schedule was determined and that this was taken into account

27 //
28

1 when the dates were set. The trial will proceed as scheduled whether or not Plaintiff has
2 retained counsel.

3
4 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

5 Dated: June 24, 2008



6 THELTON E. HENDERSON
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28